

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | FI         | LING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/960,599               | 09/21/2001 |            | Steven M. Geiger     | 213828013US2        | 6626            |
| 25096                    | 7590       | 03/08/2006 |                      | EXAM                | INER            |
| PERKINS (                |            | P          | FRIDIE JR, WILLMON   |                     |                 |
| PATENT-SE<br>P.O. BOX 12 |            |            | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                 |
| SEATTLE,                 |            | 11-1247    | 3722                 |                     |                 |

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 09/960,599  | GEIGER ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Willmon Fridie  | 3722   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a   | opears on the cover sheet w   | ith the correspondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply   |   | •  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNI: .136(a). In no event, however, may a lid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tte, cause the application to become Al | CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23.  | June 2004   | •  |  |  |  |  |
|  | is action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <i>;</i>   | <u>'</u>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21 and 25-48</u> is/are pending in the a   | application.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 21 and 25-48 are subject to restriction  | on and/or election requirem   | ent.   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin  | ner.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac  |   | by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | •  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E   | Examiner. Note the attached   | Office Action or form PTO-152.   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   | ·  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  | n priority under 35 U.S.C. §  | 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documer  | nts have been received.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documer  |   | pplication No.   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Burea   | au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis  | t of the certified copies not   | received.  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) T Interview S  | summary (PTO-413)  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s  | s)/Mail Date   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08<br/>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>   | 5) Notice of Ir<br>6) Other:  | nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)  —·   |  |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 21 and 33-44, drawn to a printed document.

Group II, claim(s) 25-32, drawn to device for printing a document.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 21 and 33-44, drawn to a printed voucher, classified in class 283, subclass 72.
- II. Claims 25-32, drawn to a device for printing vouchers, classified in class101, subclass 002.
- . Claims 45-48, drawn to a system for providing a redeemable voucher, classified in class 235, subclass 375.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Group I and Group III are related as product and process of use.

The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be

The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the system can be used to dispense lottery tickets, betting receipts, etc....

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3722

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willmon Fridie whose telephone number is 571 272.

4476. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571 272 4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

wf

WILLMON FRIDIE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER